Uncovering hidden variation in polyploid wheat
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Comprehensive reverse genetic resources, which have been key to understanding gene function in diploid model organisms, are missing in many polyploid crops. Young polyploid species such as wheat, which was domesticated less than 10,000 y ago, have high levels of sequence identity among subgenomes that mask the effects of recessive alleles. Such redundancy reduces the probability of selection of favorable mutations during natural or human selection, but also allows wheat to tolerate high densities of induced mutations. Here we exploited this property to sequence and catalog more than 10 million mutations in the protein-coding regions of 2,735 mutant lines of tetraploid and hexaploid wheat. We detected, on average, 2,705 and 5,351 mutations per tetraploid and hexaploid line, respectively, which resulted in 35–40 mutations per kb in each population. With these mutation densities, we identified an average of 23–24 missense and truncation alleles per gene, with at least one truncation or deleterious missense mutation in more than 90% of the captured wheat genes per population. This public collection of mutant seed stocks and sequence data enables rapid identification of mutations in the different copies of the wheat genes, which can be combined to uncover previously hidden variation. Polyploidy is a central phenomenon in plant evolution, and many crop species have undergone recent genome duplication events. Therefore, the general strategy and methods developed herein can benefit other polyploid crops.

Significance

Pasta and bread wheat are polyploid species that carry multiple copies of each gene. Therefore, loss-of-function mutations in one gene copy are frequently masked by functional copies on other genomes. We sequenced the protein coding regions of 2,735 mutant lines and developed a public database including more than 10 million mutations. Researchers and breeders can search this database online, identify mutations in the different copies of their target gene, and request seeds to study gene function or improve wheat varieties. Mutations are being used to improve the nutritional value of wheat, increase the size of the wheat grains, and generate additional variability in flowering genes to improve wheat adaptation to new and changing environments.
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The draft wheat genome (11) and identified 286,799 exons, which we padded with 30 bp of intronic sequence, when possible, to maintain coverage at splice sites. Given that the assay probes cross-hybridize efficiently at high levels of sequence identity (12), we did not include the most similar homoeologs in the capture design. The coding regions of wheat homoeologs average 97.2% identity [SD 1.8% (13)], which is similar to the genome divergence found in peanuts (14) and slightly greater than the divergence found in soybeans (15).

We multiplexed captures from eight tetraploid or four hexaploid wheat lines per Illumina lane and obtained >20 million 100-bp paired-end reads per sample by using methods described in SI Appendix, Method S2 and Table S2. To improve the performance of mapped reads, we supplemented the reference wheat genome sequence (11) with de novo assemblies of unmapped reads from both wheat species (SI Appendix, Method S3 and Table S3). The de novo assemblies (SI Appendix, Table S3) resulted in an additional 40,975 contigs for Kronos (33.4 Mb) and 67,632 contigs for Cadenza (41.3 Mb). The expanded reference improved the proportion of mapped reads from 93% to 98% in Kronos and from 96% to 99% in Cadenza (SI Appendix, Table S3). The initial capture design (alpha) was tested in 42 “Kronos” and 49 “Cadenza” mutants, and probes with extreme capture efficiencies were rebalanced at NimbleGen, resulting in an adjusted design (beta) with more uniform coverage (SI Appendix, Fig. S1).

Identification and Characterization of Induced Mutations. We used the adjusted design to capture and sequence the coding regions of 1,535 EMS mutants from the tetraploid variety “Kronos” (5) and 1,200 EMS mutants from the hexaploid variety “Cadenza” (3). The development of these populations and the sequencing strategy are summarized in Fig. 1. Mutations were then identified using the Mutation and Polymorphism Survey (MAPS) bioinformatics pipeline (16), which was modified by additional filters described in SI Appendix, Figs. S2–S5. We modified several MAPS parameters to optimize the detection of mutations in polyploid wheat (SI Appendix, Method S4). Using these parameters, the MAPS pipeline identified 119.2 Mb and 162.4 Mb positions with adequate coverage and quality for mutation identification in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat, respectively. These positions, designated hereafter as “valid positions,” were larger than the 84 Mb covered by the original design. This was an expected result, as the captured sequences included homoeologs that were not in the original design. The 1.4:1 ratio between valid positions in hexaploid and tetraploid wheat is close to the 1.5:1 ratio expected between lines containing three and two genomes.

Real mutations are expected to be present in multiple reads, whereas sequencing errors tend to be independently distributed. Therefore, the minimum number of reads including a mutation that are required to call a mutation [minimum coverage (MC)] is a critical parameter to differentiate real mutations from sequencing errors. To select an MC threshold that maximizes the number of detected mutations while keeping a low error rate, we compared the coverage, the number of mutations, and the associated errors at different MC stringency levels (SI Appendix, Method S4 and Tables S4–S6). Based on these data, we selected a minimum coverage of five mutant reads for heterozygous (HetMC5) and three for homozygous (HomMC3) mutations as the optimal thresholds for mutant identification. The median coverage at mutation sites for Kronos and Cadenza was 21x (SI Appendix, Table S4).

By using the HetMC5/HomMC3 threshold, we identified 4,15 million uniquely mapped EMS-type mutations (G to A and C to T) in tetraploid wheat (2,705 mutations per line) and 6.42 million in hexaploid wheat (5,351 mutations per line; Table 1). Dividing these numbers by the number of valid positions identified by MAPS, we estimated an average mutation density of 23 mutations per Mb per individual in Kronos (34.8 mutations per kb for 1,535 lines) and 33 mutations per Mb per individual in Cadenza (39.5 mutations per kb for 1,200 lines). The distribution of mutations along the wheat pseudomolecules paralleled the distribution of gene densities (Fig. 24), suggesting a uniform distribution of mutations along the wheat coding regions.

At the HetMC5/HomMC3 threshold, the nonmutagenized control lines showed a much lower number of polymorphisms than the mutant lines (0.5% in Kronos and 1.2% in Cadenza). On average, only 14 SNPs per plant were detected in the nonmutagenized Kronos, along with 63 in the nonmutagenized Cadenza controls (Fig. 2B), suggesting a low error rate. This was also supported by the low percentage of non-EMS-type mutations (A to G and T to C) observed in the mutagenized lines of Kronos (0.9%) and Cadenza (0.8%; Fig. 2C and Table 1). A third estimate of the error rate was obtained by calculating the number of non–EMS-type transitions (A to G and T to C) within the non-EMS SNPs. This number is very similar to the reciprocal EMS-type mutations (G to A and C to T; SI Appendix, Method S5), and can be used to estimate the number of potentially erroneous EMS-type mutations. By using this method, the predicted error rate among uniquely mapped EMS-type mutations was less than 0.2% in both Kronos and Cadenza (Table 1).

The low error rate of our mutant identification pipeline was also validated experimentally by resequencing PCR products and dedicated SNP assays. Among 280 EMS-type mutations, 278 (99.3%) were confirmed across both populations (Materials and Methods and SI Appendix, Text S1 and Tables S7–S10). At lower MC stringency levels, we observed higher numbers of mutations, but also an increase in the associated errors (Fig. 2D). At HetMC3/HomMC2, for example, we detected an additional 0.9 million EMS-type mutations in tetraploid wheat (SI Appendix, Table S5) and 1.7 million in hexaploid wheat (SI Appendix, Table S6) that were not previously detected at HetMC5/HomMC3. However, the estimated errors for heterozygous mutations at exact coverage of 3 (HetC3) increased to 5.6% for Kronos (SI Appendix, Table S5) and 10.0% for Cadenza (SI Appendix, Table S6). These additional mutations still have an acceptable probability of being correct and can be accessed by selecting the desired MC in the public database.

Fig. 1. Overview of the development of the sequenced mutant populations. M<sub>s</sub> seeds were mutagenized with EMS (resulting in M<sub>1</sub> plants), and a single M<sub>s</sub> plant was grown from each M<sub>1</sub> plant. Genomic DNAs were extracted from the M<sub>2</sub> plants, and M<sub>2</sub> seeds were obtained from the same plant. M<sub>3</sub> seeds were planted in the field to produce M<sub>4</sub> seeds for distribution. Barcoded sequencing libraries were constructed, used for exome capture, and sequenced by using Illumina. Mutations were identified by using the MAPS pipeline and were deposited in public databases that can be searched online.
Table 1. Characterization of mutations in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat (HetMCS/HomMCS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutations and SNPs characteristics</th>
<th>Tetraploid Kronos</th>
<th>Hexaploid Cadenza</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uniquely mapped SNPs*</td>
<td>4,189,561</td>
<td>6,470,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterozygous/homozygous ratio at M_1*</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniquely mapped EMS-type mutations*</td>
<td>4,152,707</td>
<td>6,421,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average EMS-type mutations/line*</td>
<td>2,705</td>
<td>5,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average EMS-type mutations per kilobase (population)</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS-type, %*</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-EMS-type transitions*</td>
<td>7,323</td>
<td>10,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum error in uniquely mapped EMS-type, %**\†</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH SNPs</td>
<td>69,651</td>
<td>38,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterozygous/homozygous ratio in RH</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SNPs per megabase per individual in RH</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS-type SNPs in RH</td>
<td>16,412</td>
<td>6,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS-type in RH, %</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-EMS-type transitions in RH</td>
<td>20,358</td>
<td>8,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimap SNPs</td>
<td>321,511</td>
<td>955,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterozygous/homozygous ratio in multimap</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimap EMS-type mutations</td>
<td>315,537</td>
<td>933,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS-type mutations in multimap SNPs, %</td>
<td>98.14</td>
<td>97.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-EMS-type transitions in multimap</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>5,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum error in multimapped EMS-type, %**\‡</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene models with at least one mutation (GM_1)\‡</td>
<td>48,172</td>
<td>73,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM_1 with at least one truncation</td>
<td>28,604 (59%)</td>
<td>45,311 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM_1 with at least one missense mutation</td>
<td>46,198 (96%)</td>
<td>69,543 (94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of missense mutations per GM_1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM_1 with truncation and/or deleterious missense§</td>
<td>48,172 (91%)</td>
<td>73,895 (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique genes eliminated in large deletions</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>6,657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excluding RH and deletion regions.
\*Estimated from the number of reciprocal A>G and T>C transitions among non-EMS-type mutations.
\‡GM in Ensembl (a more detailed analysis of variant effect predictions is provided in SI Appendix, Text S3).
§Predicted deleterious missense mutations by SIFT (<0.05).

Residual Genetic Heterogeneity. The original breeder's seed stocks of Kronos and Cadenza that were mutagenized had small regions of residual genetic heterogeneity (RH) that were identified after sequencing based on their lower proportion of EMS-type mutations, higher mutation density, higher proportion of homozygous mutations, and presence in multiple individuals (Table 1, Fig. 3 A and B, and SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Using an index that combined those criteria (SI Appendix, Method S5 and Table S11), we identified 69,651 RH-SNPs in Kronos (1.7% of the total SNPs) and 38,626 RH-SNPs (0.6%) in Cadenza at the HetMCS/HomMCS threshold (Table 1 and SI Appendix, Table S12). These RH levels are consistent with seed obtained after pooling multiple F_2 plants for Kronos and multiple F_3 plants for Cadenza, which is normal breeding practice.

Mutations Present in Multiple Individuals. Even after removal of the RH regions, approximately 1.4 million EMS-type mutations shared by more than one individual were detected in Kronos and Cadenza. The frequency of these mutations decayed rapidly from two to six individuals (Fig. 3 C and D, red bars) and was very different from the frequency distribution in the RH regions (Fig. 3 A and B). The distribution of these EMS-type mutations approached a Poisson distribution (Fig. 3 C and D, light blue bars). However, the closest theoretical Poisson distribution was obtained by using only one fifth of the available G/C sites (SI Appendix, Method S6 and Tables S13 and S14). This result suggests that some G/C positions have a lower probability of being affected by the EMS mutagen.

We hypothesize that the EMS preference for certain sequences flanking the mutated sites (Fig. 3 E and F and SI Appendix, Method S7) can affect the probability of mutations in some G/C positions, as previously observed in rice (16). This hypothesis is also supported by the observation that sequence preferences in the region flanking EMS-type mutations were stronger in non-RH mutations shared by multiple individuals than in those present in only one individual (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). We do not rule out the possibility that differences in chromatin structure and DNA methylation may have also affected the probability of mutations at some G/C sites.

Reads Mapping to Multiple Locations. For some genes, we detected very few or no mutations. Characterization of these genes revealed that this was mainly caused by duplicated regions in the reference genome (e.g., highly similar homeologs or incorrect duplicated assemblies). Reads associated with multiple mapping (MM) locations were assigned low mapping quality values and were eliminated in the MAPS pipeline. To recover mutations in these locations, we developed a custom bioinformatics pipeline that assigned the MM reads to a single location, recorded alternative locations, modified the mapping quality score, and redirected the reads to MAPS (SI Appendix, Method S8 and Figs. S2 and S3). By using this pipeline, we recovered 16.6 million reads and identified an additional 1.25 million EMS-type mutations (Table 1). More MM high-quality mutations were observed in hexaploid (933,515) than in tetraploid wheat (315,537), which was expected based on the presence of an additional genome. We validated 22 of the 25 MM mutations tested by PCR and resequencing (SI Appendix, Method S8 and Table S15).
Small and Large Deletions. In addition to EMS-type mutations, MAPS identified 616 and 1,268 small (<20 bp) deletions in Kronos and Cadenza, respectively (at HetMC5/HomMC3, excluding RH regions; SI Appendix, Table S16). We selected 15 of these predicted deletions for validation by Sanger resequencing (SI Appendix, Table S17 and Fig. S8) and were able to validate 14 of them (93.3%; SI Appendix, Table S17).

By using a dedicated bioinformatics pipeline (SI Appendix, Method S9 and Fig. S4), we detected a total of 870 large homozygous deletions covering five or more exons in Kronos and 7,971 in Cadenza (SI Appendix, Tables S18 and Figs. S9–S11). Overall, the large deletion events were mainly independent (94% of deletions were restricted to one or two individuals; SI Appendix, Text S2 and Fig. S11), and were confined to relatively small physical intervals comprising a median size of four to eight scaffolds in Kronos and Cadenza, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). The tetraploid Kronos population had fewer numbers of lines with homozygous deletions compared with the hexaploid Cadenza population, and those deletions were, on average, smaller and confined to fewer individuals. This is most likely a consequence of the higher EMS dosage used in the mutagenesis of the hexaploid
lines, which is also reflected in the higher average mutation density in Cadenza relative to Kronos. For validation, we selected 11 homozygous large deletions and were able to confirm all of them (SI Appendix, Method S10 and Table S19).

Effect of Induced Mutations on Gene Models. We analyzed the effect of EMS-type mutations on gene models with at least one mutation (GM1; Table 1 and SI Appendix, Method S11, Text S3, and Tables S20 and S21). In tetraploid wheat, 59% of GM1 genes contained at least one truncation (premature stop or splice site) mutation and 96% at least one missense mutation (average, 21.4). In hexaploid wheat, 61% of GM1 genes contained at least one truncation and 94% contained at least one missense mutation (average, 22.6; Table 1 and SI Appendix, Table S21). By using the “sorting intolerant from tolerant” (SIFT) algorithm (17), we found that more than 85% of GM1 genes across both populations had at least one deleterious missense mutation (SIFT < 0.05). Results combining the SIFT and truncation analyses suggest that our database includes high-quality uniquely mapped mutations that eliminate or reduce function for more than 90% of the captured wheat genes (Table 1 and Fig. 2A).

As an example of the high frequency of mutations in these populations, we show the presence of truncations or deleterious missense mutations in most of the genes from the wheat starch biosynthesis (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Method S12, Table S22, and Fig. S12) and flowering pathways (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Method S12, Table S23, and Fig. S12).

Mutations in the Starch Branching Enzyme genes have been already used to develop pasta and wheat germplasm with increased levels of resistant starch (18, 19), a dietary fiber associated with beneficial effects on human health (20–22). Mutations in wheat flowering genes have been used to dissect the wheat flowering pathway and to modulate wheat flowering time (23–28). For four of these genes, the effects of mutations in individual homeologs were negligible compared with those of the null mutations affecting all homeologs (Fig. 4C–F). These results illustrate the limited effects of individual recessive mutations in polyploid wheat.

Access to Mutations, Seed Stocks, and SNP Markers. The EMS-type mutations detected in the Kronos and Cadenza populations at different stringency levels are accessible in public databases and can be visualized using a JBrowse graphic interface (SI Appendix, Text S4). Once the desired mutations are identified, the corresponding M4 seeds can be requested from the University of California, Davis (dubcovskylab.ucdavis.edu/wheat-tilling), and the UK Germplasm Resources Unit (https://www.seedstor.ac.uk/shopping-cart-tilling.php).

In addition, predesigned “Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR” (KASP) primers are available to validate the mutations and to select them for downstream research and breeding applications. In total, we designed 2,771,688 KASP assays for the Kronos population and 3,872,892 assays for Cadenza, the majority of which are genome-specific or -semispecific (72.9% Kronos and 82.8% Cadenza). These primers are provided as part of the output from the public databases.

Discussion

Advantages and Limitations of Sequenced Mutant Populations. The exome-sequenced tetraploid and hexaploid mutant populations can be used for complementary purposes. The tetraploid mutant population is best suited for basic research projects because it allows quicker generation of complete null mutants. This can be achieved through a single cross between A and B genome mutant

Fig. 3. EMS mutations present in multiple individuals. EMS sequence preference and RH: (A, C, and E) Tetraploid Kronos and (B, D, and F) hexaploid Cadenza. (A and B) Mutations shared by multiple individuals in RH regions. (C and D) Observed (red) and closest Poisson distribution (light blue) of mutations present in non-RH regions of multiple individuals. (A–D) The x-axis indicates the number of individuals sharing the same mutation. (E and F) Sequence preference in regions flanking EMS-type mutations (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). The x-axis indicates the number of nucleotides upstream (negative) and downstream (positive) from the mutated site.
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lines, followed by self-pollination of the F1 plant, to generate a segregating F2 population. Homozygous F2 double mutants can then be selected by using predesigned KASP assays to generate complete null mutants in the third generation. For breeding applications, however, the hexaploid population is most relevant, as bread wheat represents more than 95% of the wheat grown globally (29). In hexaploid wheat, an additional cross is required to combine mutations across all three genomes. Both populations are in genetic backgrounds with a spring growth habit and have relatively short generation times (10–16 wk depending on growing conditions).

The high mutation rates of these populations are valuable for the identification of novel alleles, including truncation mutations. However, they can also have a negative impact in the characterization of a specific mutation. Strategies to account for this high level of background mutation include the use of multiple independent mutants, backcrossing to reduce mutation load, and selecting for isogenic sibling lines that share background mutations. For mutations with subtle phenotypic effects or that require field phenotyping, it is advisable to backcross the mutant lines to the nonmutagenized parent for at least two generations before combining homeologous mutations (30, 31).

Recent developments in genome-editing technologies offer the potential to induce mutant alleles in targeted regions of the wheat genome (32–34). Simultaneous homozygous deletions in all three homeologs have been achieved by using transient expression of the Cas9 endonuclease and short guide RNAs complementary to the three homeologs (34). However, homozygous triple mutants in the first T0 generation were rare (68 plants from 7,680 bombarded embryos) and only ~0.5% (41 of 7,680) corresponded to transgene-free triple homozygous mutants (34). This suggests that, for most genome editing experiments, crossing will still be required to combine single or double mutant lines or to segregate out the DNA construct. Genome editing has the advantage of lower levels of background mutations, but the disadvantage of the high upfront mutation rates of the wheat starch synthase and flowering pathways genes. (A) Wheat starch biosynthesis and (B) flowering pathways. Squares represent individual genes and are colored according to genomes ("A," green; "B," blue; "D," violet). Filled squares represent genes with at least one truncation mutation from the mutant database, squares with crossed diagonals indicate deleterious missense mutations, and single diagonals denote tolerated missense mutations as predicted by SIFT (17). Dashed lines indicate homeologs absent or nonfunctional in the reference. Details for each gene are presented in SI Appendix, Tables S22 and S23. (C–F) Effect of loss-of-function mutations for (C) STARCH BRANCHING ENZYME Ia/b (18), (D) PHOTOCROME C (25), (E) PHOTOCROME B (27), and (F) VERNALIZATION 2 (26). Asterisk indicates published characterization of mutant phenotype. Double dagger indicates published characterization of mutant phenotype based on natural mutations.

Fig. 4. Predicted effect of mutant alleles on wheat starch biosynthesis and flowering pathways genes. (A) Wheat starch biosynthesis and (B) flowering pathways. Squares represent individual genes and are colored according to genomes ("A," green; "B," blue; "D," violet). Filled squares represent genes with at least one truncation mutation from the mutant database, squares with crossed diagonals indicate deleterious missense mutations, and single diagonals denote tolerated missense mutations as predicted by SIFT (17). Dashed lines indicate homeologs absent or nonfunctional in the reference. Details for each gene are presented in SI Appendix, Tables S22 and S23. (C–F) Effect of loss-of-function mutations for (C) STARCH BRANCHING ENZYME Ia/b (18), (D) PHOTOCROME C (25), (E) PHOTOCROME B (27), and (F) VERNALIZATION 2 (26). Asterisk indicates published characterization of mutant phenotype. Double dagger indicates published characterization of mutant phenotype based on natural mutations.
expensive in construct design and transformation costs. By contrast, the sequenced mutant populations provide researchers with instant access to the mutant alleles with a simple online search and inexpensive seed request. Efficient wheat transformation is still limited to a small number of large research institutions, so the public sequenced mutant populations have the potential to democratize access to reverse genetic resources in wheat.

It is still not clear if genome-edited crops will be regulated as nontransgenic in all countries, which may impose constraints for globally traded crops such as wheat. There are still no commercially available transgenic wheat varieties, whereas EMS mutations have been used in agriculture for almost a century and are not under any regulation. We therefore predict that the mutant populations developed in this work will be very valuable and highly complementary to editing approaches in the future.

Uncovering Hidden Recessive Variation. The results for the flowering repressor VRN2 mutants (Fig. 4F) are particularly illustrative of the limited phenotypic effect of recessive mutations in polyploid wheat. To date, no polyploid wheat variety has extensively been described with a spring growth habit associated with recessive vrn2 alleles (26). This is not caused by a lack of effect in polyploid wheat, as loss-of-function mutations at all three VRN2 homeologs in hexaploid wheat result in a spring growth habit (26). It is also not caused by limited selection pressure, as more than 10 independent dominant mutations for spring growth habit have been described for the meristem identity gene VRN1 (26). Finally, it is unlikely that the lack of spring types associated with vrn-2 is caused by a low adaptive value, as most accessions of cultivated diploid wheat Triticum monococcum (35) and a large number of diploid barley varieties (36, 37) have a spring growth habit associated with recessive vrn-2 mutations. Based on the previous evidence, we hypothesize that recessive mutations at the VRN2 locus in polyploid wheat have remained hidden from selection for more than 8,000 years by the redundancy conferred by multiple homeologs.

Given the recent origin of the polyploid wheat species, many potentially useful induced and natural mutations are likely masked by functional redundancy among homeologs. The >10,000,000 sequenced mutations identified in wheat coding regions in the present study facilitate the identification of loss-of-function mutations in different homeologs and generates a large number of alleles. These mutations can be combined to study gene function and to reveal previously hidden phenotypic variation. Likewise, the effects of candidate genes from diploid grass species can now be studied directly in wheat, as recently shown for the meristem identity gene TaGW2-A1 mutants with increased grain size identified in the tetraploid population (31). The strategy and methods developed herein can be also applied to other young polyploid crops with closely related genomes.

In summary, the mutant populations sequenced in the present study represent an invaluable resource for wheat functional genomics and provide a powerful tool to uncover variation previously hidden to human and natural selection in a central crop species for global food security.

Materials and Methods

Exome Capture Design. The wheat exome capture designs used in the present study were developed in collaboration with NimbleGen (Roche) and are publicly available to order from Roche catalog numbers 140428_Wheat_Dubcovsky-D18_REZHX1 (tetraploid wheat) and 140430_Wheat_TGAC_D14_REZHX1(hexaploid wheat). The sequences in this design comprise protein-coding transcript data from T. turgidum and T. aestivum transcriptome studies, wheat ESTs, wheat sequences homologous to barley gene models (38) not present in the present wheat datasets, and hand-annotated sequences that were crowd-sourced from the wheat research community (SI Appendix, Table S1). A more detailed description of the methods used for the development of the exome capture is presented in SI Appendix, Method S1.

A total of 82,511 protein coding sequences passed through all filters into the final design (SI Appendix, Table S1). Exon prediction was performed by aligning transcripts to the Chinese Spring Survey (CSS) sequences using the exonerate program (39) as described previously (40). Individual exons were padded with 30 bp from the introns on each side of the exons to increase capture efficiency at exon/intron borders. For T. aestivum sequences, all exon and padded sequences were derived from the genomic assembly. For T. turgidum sequences, original sequences were retained for the exons and only padding was supplemented from the genomic assembly. For T. aestivum genome. In total, we included 219,383 padded and 67,416 unpadded exons in the design, covering a total of 84 Mb (SI Appendix, Table S1). The exome capture design is available for BLAST and can be downloaded at dubcovskylab.ucdavis.edu/wheat-tilling and www.wheat-tilling.com.

Sample Preparation. A detailed description of the methods used for genomic DNA extraction and shearing, library construction and barcoding, capture hybridization and DNA recovery, amplification, and sequencing are presented in SI Appendix, Method S2 and Table S2.

Data Processing and Mapping Rates. Illumina 100 bp paired-end reads were preprocessed to trim 3′ adapter sequences and low quality. Trimmed reads were aligned to genome scaffolds of the CSS sequence (AB genomes for Kronos and ABD genomes for Cadenza) using bwa (41). For Cadenza, CSS scaffolds for chromosome 3B were replaced with the 3B pseudomolecule assembly (42). Alignments were sorted by using samtools (41), and duplicate reads were removed with Picard tools. Additional information is provided in SI Appendix, Method S3.

De Novo Assembly of Unmapped Reads. To increase the proportion of mapped reads, we supplemented the CSS reference with a de novo assembly of unmapped reads from Kronos and Cadenza. Supplementary de novo assemblies for tetraploid and hexaploid wheat were constructed separately by using 43,073,616 unmapped reads from 14 Kronos samples and 56,988,370 unmapped reads from 10 Cadenza samples (SI Appendix, Method S3 and Table S3).

MAPS Parameter Optimization. To identify EMS-induced mutations, we used the MAPS software that was previously tested on rice and eight wheat mutant lines (16) (SI Appendix, Method S4 and Fig. S2). In each MAPS run, we processed batches of 24 samples for tetraploid wheat and 24 or 32 samples for hexaploid wheat. Only SNPs detected in a single sample of the batch are reported by the MAPS pipeline. This removes varietal SNPs between the CS reference and Kronos/Cadenza and is also critical in polyploid species to eliminate polymorphisms among homeologs, which are present across all samples.

In wheat, EMS generates almost exclusively G to A and C to T changes, which are referred in the present study as EMS-type mutations. Therefore, the proportion of non-EMS-type SNPs can be used as a first approximation to the error rate. We also estimated the error rate by comparing the number of SNPs detected in the nonmutagenized WT line (no mutations expected) with the number of rare number of mutations detected in the EMS-treated plants. By using these error estimates, we empirically adjusted several parameters in the MAPS pipeline to minimize the detection of false mutations without losing too much sensitivity (SI Appendix, Method S4).

Validation of EMS Mutations. We validated EMS mutations by examining their status in M0 plants by using genome-specific KASP assays designed with PolyMarker (43) and through direct Sanger sequencing (SI Appendix, Tables S8–S10). The main objectives were to confirm the presence of the mutation in the M0 progeny seed deposited in the public repositories and classify the M0 as homozygous or heterozygous in the M0 progeny seed.

Correction for Heterozygous/Homozygous Mutation Classification. In a single M0 individual, the frequency of WT and mutant alleles is expected to be close to 50% for a heterozygous mutation. However, MAPS classifies mutations as heterozygous even when a single WT read is present at low frequency. A single mismapped read can result in a homozygous mutation being misclassified as heterozygous and in the overestimation of the ratio between heterozygous to homozygous mutations. This problem is exacerbated in polyploid species with similar genomes. To correct these errors, we introduced a filter in the bioinformatics pipeline that reclassified heterozygous mutations as homozygous when the frequency of the WT allele was less than 15% of reads (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).

Calculation of Mutation Density and Coverage. To estimate the mutation density (number of mutations per kb of captured sequence) across the population, we divided the total number of uniquely mapped mutations identified at Krasileva et al.
HetMCS/HomMCS (excluding RH) by the average number of positions used by MAPS to identify mutations (119.2 Mb for Kronos and 162.4 Mb for Cadenza). To calculate these last two numbers, we first obtained the number of bases covered by at least one read at quality higher than 20 in at least N = 4 samples from the MAPS batch from the intermediate “MAPS-assay” file (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). We then determined the number of bases covered by at least four reads in each individual, and used the average across all mutants in the population to estimate the average number of positions used by MAPS to identify mutations.

The coverage values presented in SI Appendix, Table S4, are based on mutant positions. These positions are selected by MAPS to have a minimum coverage of three reads and to be present in a high proportion of the samples in the same run, and therefore their coverage can be higher than the average from the complete population. However, the coverage values obtained for Kronos by using the previous method (26.6) was almost identical to the value obtained for 89.2 million positions independently of mutations in the comparison of the α-design and β-design in Kronos (28.8; SI Appendix, Fig. S1). This result suggests that coverage values estimated from mutant positions are not very different from the ones in the overall population.

EMS Sequence Preference. Sequence preference in sites adjacent to EMS mutation sites were calculated by using the method described previously (16). Briefly, we measured nucleotide frequencies in 20-bp regions flanking the mutated G nucleotides and compared it to regions flanking a nonmutagenized G located 40-50 bp upstream and downstream of each mutation site. Sequence preference at each position was expressed as the difference between the percent frequency in the base flanking the mutated G and the corresponding frequency in the control sites (Fig. 3 E and F and SI Appendix, Fig. S7).

Identification and Validation of Large Deletions. To identify and characterize homozygous deletions in our mutant populations, we developed a custom bioinformatics pipeline that examines relative coverage of exons within and across chromosomes (see SI Appendix, Methods S4). The methods used to validate these large mutations are described in SI Appendix, Method S50.

Variant Effect Prediction. Mutation effects on gene function were predicted on the final SNP files (HetMCS/HomMCS) without RH using the Variant Effect Predictor program (44) from Ensembl tools release 78 in offline mode (SI Appendix, Method S11).

Access to and Visualization of Mutations. The raw reads for the tetraploid and hexaploid projects are available from National Center for Biotechnology Information BioProject PRJNA258539 and European Read Archive ENA (European Nucleotide Archive) study PRJEB11524, respectively. In addition, we deposited the uniquely mapped EMS-type mutations at HetMCS/HomMCS (excluding RH) in EnsemblPlants. These platforms are searchable through string searches or BLAST queries.

Access to Mutant Seed Stocks and SNP Markers. For the Kronos and Cadenza Targeting Induced Local Lesions in Genomes (TILLING) populations, M3 seed was collected from the individual M2 plants used for DNA extraction and exome sequencing. For initial seed bulking, ~3 M2 siblings were grown in the field as single rows and all M2 seed was harvested and bulked for each mutant line. For lines with low yields (~60 g), an additional set of M3 siblings were grown on the glasshouse for field to increase seed yield. Additional backups of the complete tetraploid mutant population have been deposited in Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maiz y Trigo (Mexico), Shandong University, the University of Saskatchewan, the quarantine repository in Australia, the Cereal Disease Laboratory, and Washington State University. Likewise, backups of the complete hexaploid mutant population have been deposited at Rothamsted Research, National Institute of Agricultural Botany, the French National Institute for Agricultural Research, and University College Dublin.

To generate KASP assays for the HetMCS/HomMCS EMS-type mutations in the database, we ran the PolyMarker pipeline (43). For the allele-specific primers, fluorophore-compatible tails need to be added to the 5′ end before oligo synthesis (45).

Code Availability. All code is available through the wheat TILLING project GitHub page: https://github.com/DubcovskyLab/wheat_tilling_pub.
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